

Mach's Principle and Spatial Scale-Invariance of Gravity

Sanjay M. Wagh

Central India Research Institute, Post Box 606,

Laxminagar, Nagpur 440 022, India

E-mail:ciri@vsnl.com

(Dated: February 4, 2002)

Gravity does not provide any scale for matter properties. We argue that this is also the implication of Mach's hypothesis of the relativity of inertia. The most general spacetime compatible with this property of gravity is that admitting three, independent spatial homothetic Killing vectors generating an arbitrary function of each one of the three spatial coordinates. The matter properties for such a spacetime are (spatially) arbitrary and the matter generating the spacetime admits *any* equation of state. This is also the most general spacetime containing the weak gravity physics in its entirety. This spacetime is machian in that it is *globally* degenerate for anti-machian situations such as vacuum, a single matter particle etc. and, hence, has no meaning in the absence of matter.

Submitted to: Physical Review D - Brief Reports

PACS numbers: 04.70.+w, 04.20.-q, 04.20.Cv, 95.30.5f

Mach's principle is the hypothesis of the relativity of inertia [1]. In a machian theory, the inertia of a body gets determined by the presence of all other bodies in the universe.

It is well-known that the field equations of general relativity do not incorporate Mach's principle. The point is that the field equations admit curved vacuum solutions and also solutions that are asymptotically flat. However, such solutions are anti-machian since the existence of such solutions implies, in Einstein's own words, that *the inertia [of a body] is influenced by matter (at finite distances) but not determined by it. If only a single mass point existed it would have inertia ...[but] in a consistent relativity theory there cannot be inertia relative to "space" but only inertia of masses relative to each other* [2]. Therefore, the field equations of General Relativity, expressed as only the *formal* equality of the Einstein and the energy-momentum tensors, violate the machian hypothesis of the relativity of inertia.

In this connection, it is important to stress that it is only the equivalence principle which leads to the geometrization of gravity. (It is on the basis of this principle that we demand the local flatness of a spacetime manifold.) The equivalence principle then leads, through a variational principle, to the field equations of General Relativity. Hence, any theory of gravity based on only the equivalence principle cannot be a machian theory. This is certainly evident. Therefore, although Einstein recognized [3] Mach's hypothesis of the relativity of inertia as one of the basic principles in 1918, his enthusiasm for it gradually waned and vanished soon afterwards [4].

The overall influence of Mach's philosophy in the development of general relativity is, however, undeniable. Mach's principle of the relativity of in-

ertia is also an important point of departure from the newtonian thoughts. It, then, seems that we require, perhaps, a radically new approach to reconcile Mach's ideas on the relativity of inertia with those of the general covariance [5].

We know that, speaking only mathematically, there can be many four-dimensional spacetime geometries possible. From among these possible geometries, the equivalence principle identifies those that are locally Lorentzian. In a similar way, we need a principle (other than the equivalence principle) that could be translated into mathematical terms to identify *uniquely* the spacetime geometries that are in conformity with the machian hypothesis. We may then identify a *unique* set of equations for such machian spacetimes and call them the "correct" field equations of the machian theory of gravity. The "correct" field equations must further obey the principle of general covariance that is a fundamental symmetry of the classical Lagrangian formulation of General Relativity.

Then, we may demand that, in a spacetime consistent with Mach's principle, the metric tensor, and its all diffeomorphic forms, should determine the inertial action and the metric tensor, and its all diffeomorphic forms, should, in turn, be *completely* determined by the mass distribution in the universe. That is to say, the "correct" spacetimes, not as solutions of the Einstein field equations but as solutions of the *new* set of equations, should not be obtainable in the absence of matter. (To quote Pais [2], "Einstein never said so explicitly, but it seems reasonable to assume that he had in mind that the correct equations should have no solutions at all in the absence of matter.")

Therefore, although the Einstein field equations are not machian, there could be another set of equations leading us to spacetime geometries of the

sort implied by Mach's hypothesis.

The quest for such a set of equations on the basis of Mach's version of the hypothesis has, however, not led us to any definite conclusions in the past. It has been difficult to identify spacetime geometries uniquely from the statement of Mach's principle since it is difficult to translate it into some precise mathematical language.

There could, however, be an implication of Mach's principle which is translatable to a precise mathematical requirement to be imposed on the spacetime apart from that of its local flatness. It is in this spirit that the present paper shows that the Einstein field equations augmented with the principle of spatial scale invariance lead to manifestly machian relativity theory of gravity.

The point of departure from the usual analysis dealing with Mach's principle is the following observation: Mach's principle states that the inertia of a particle of matter is the result of its interaction with all other particles in the universe. Consequently, there must be energy density of matter "everywhere" in a machian universe. This can be interpreted to mean that we can assemble "masses" to produce another "mass" and that the process of this building up of mass cannot be terminated in space. This is, then, recognized as the principle of the mass-scale and/or spatial scale invariance of the theory of gravity. Therefore, we note an *important aspect of Mach's hypothesis of the relativity of inertia - that the spatial scale-independence of gravity is (one of) its direct implications.*

To further fix our ideas, we first note that the phenomenon of gravitation does not provide any length-scale or mass-scale for spatial distributions of matter properties. The scale-independence of Newtonian gravity applies only to space and not to time. Newton's law of gravitation does not specify any property of matter that it deals with. It applies irrespective of the form of matter under consideration. To be precise, we can assemble masses to produce another mass, of any desired spatial density distribution as well as of any size and made up of any material. Newtonian law of gravitation permits this even when other physical phenomena are considered together with that of gravitation. The spatial scale-independence and/or mass-independence are important properties of the newtonian gravity.

Then, accordingly, the newtonian theory is also a machian theory but it is not a complete theory of relativity since it is based only on the galilean invariance. A theory of gravity that is based on the principle of general covariance is the theory of General Relativity.

In General Relativity, the spatial scale and the mass scale become one since its fundamental con-

stants provide us the means to convert length to mass and vice-versa. Therefore, if the spatial scale-independence is any basic property of gravity then, General Relativity must admit a spacetime with matter density as an arbitrary function of *each* of the three spatial coordinates, in general. We emphasize that such a spacetime metric and all other metric forms that are reducible to it under non-singular coordinate transformations, that is to say, diffeomorphic to it, are the only solutions of the field equations of General Relativity that are consistent with gravity not possessing a length-scale for matter properties.

In this connection, we note that the field equations of General Relativity were arrived at by demanding only that these reduce to the Newton-Poisson equation in the weak gravity limit [2, 6]. But, *the field equations of any theory of gravity should contain the entire weak gravity physics due to the applicability of the laws of weak gravity to any form of matter displaying any physical phenomena.* The field equations are expected to be only the *formal* equality of the appropriate tensor from the geometry and the energy-momentum tensor of matter. Then, the field equations of General Relativity could have been obtained by imposing the requirement that these reduce to the single "equation of the entire weak gravity physics".

However, there is no "single" equation for the "entire weak gravity physics" since we include different physical effects in an ad-hoc manner in the newtonian physics.

But, *there can be a "single" spacetime containing the entire weak gravity physics.* Therefore, we need a principle to identify such a solution of the field equations. In the weak field limit, the spatial scale-invariance is the freedom of specification of matter properties through three independent functions of the three spatial coordinates, in general.

The spatial scale-invariance is then the principle that could help us identify spacetimes containing the entire weak gravity physics. Indeed, the spatial scale invariance identifies (6) as the single such spacetime [7]. It has appropriate energy-momentum fluxes, applicability to any form of matter and, hence, it contains the entire weak gravity physics. The newtonian law of gravitation then gets replaced by the single general relativistic spacetime of (6) that contains all of the weak gravity physics. But, spatial scale-independence needs to be separately imposed on the field equations to obtain it.

In what follows, we recall from [7] the most general spatially scale-invariant spacetime for the sake of completeness here.

In general, a homothetic Killing vector captures [8] the notion of the scale-invariance. A spacetime

that conforms to the spatial scale-invariance, to be called a *spatially homothetic spacetime*, is then required to admit an appropriate *spatial* homothetic Killing vector $\mathbf{X}$ satisfying

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{X}} g_{ab} = 2\Phi g_{ab} \quad (1)$$

where Φ is an arbitrary constant.

We then expect spatially homothetic spacetimes to possess arbitrary spatial characteristics for matter. This is also the broadest (Lie) sense of the scale-invariance of the spacetime leading not only to the reduction of the Einstein field equations as partial differential equations to ordinary differential equations but leading also to their separation. That is why we expect to obtain three arbitrary functions for a spacetime admitting three independent spatial homothetic Killing vectors.

In general, we then demand that the spacetime admitting no special symmetries, that is no proper Killing vectors, admits *three* independent homothetic Killing vectors corresponding to the three dimensions for which gravity provides no length-scale for matter inhomogeneities. Such a metric,

from the broadest (Lie) sense, admits three functions $X(x)$, $Y(y)$, $Z(z)$ of three space variables, conveniently called here, x , y , z , each being a function of only one variable.

Based on the above considerations, we then demand that there exist three independent spatial homothetic Killing vectors

$$\mathcal{X} = (0, f(x), 0, 0) \quad (2)$$

$$\mathcal{Y} = (0, 0, g(y), 0) \quad (3)$$

$$\mathcal{Z} = (0, 0, 0, h(z)) \quad (4)$$

for the general spacetime metric

$$ds^2 = g_{ab} dx^a dx^b \quad (5)$$

with g_{ab} being functions of the coordinates (t, x, y, z) . Here each of the three vectors $\mathcal{X}$, $\mathcal{Y}$ and $\mathcal{Z}$ satisfies (1) with Φ_x , Φ_y , Φ_z as corresponding constants.

Then, the spacetime metric is, *uniquely*, the following

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 = & - X^2(x) Y^2(y) Z^2(z) dt^2 + \gamma_x^2 \left(\frac{dX}{dx} \right)^2 Y^2(y) Z^2(z) A^2(t) dx^2 \\ & + \gamma_y^2 X^2(x) \left(\frac{dY}{dy} \right)^2 Z^2(z) B^2(t) dy^2 + \gamma_z^2 X^2(x) Y^2(y) \left(\frac{dZ}{dz} \right)^2 C^2(t) dz^2 \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where γ s are constants related to Φ s. This is the most general spacetime compatible with gravity not possessing any length-scale for matter inhomogeneities in its *diagonal* form.

The coordinates (t, x, y, z) in which this metric is separable are co-moving. Hence, the matter

4-velocity is $U^a = (U^t, U^x, U^y, U^z)$ with all the components non-vanishing in general. Then, using, for example, the software SHEEP, it is easy to see that the Einstein tensor has appropriate components

$$G_{tt} = -\frac{1}{\gamma_x^2 A^2} - \frac{1}{\gamma_y^2 B^2} - \frac{1}{\gamma_z^2 C^2} + \frac{\dot{A}\dot{B}}{AB} + \frac{\dot{A}\dot{C}}{AC} + \frac{\dot{B}\dot{C}}{BC} \quad (7)$$

$$G_{xx} = \gamma_x^2 A^2 \left(\frac{L_{,x}}{L} \right)^2 \left[-\frac{\ddot{B}}{B} - \frac{\ddot{C}}{C} - \frac{\dot{B}\dot{C}}{BC} + \frac{3}{\gamma_x^2 A^2} + \frac{1}{\gamma_y^2 B^2} + \frac{1}{\gamma_z^2 C^2} \right] \quad (8)$$

$$G_{yy} = \gamma_y^2 B^2 \left(\frac{M_{,y}}{M} \right)^2 \left[-\frac{\ddot{A}}{A} - \frac{\ddot{C}}{C} - \frac{\dot{A}\dot{C}}{AC} + \frac{3}{\gamma_y^2 B^2} + \frac{1}{\gamma_x^2 A^2} + \frac{1}{\gamma_z^2 C^2} \right] \quad (9)$$

$$G_{zz} = \gamma_z^2 C^2 \left(\frac{N_{,z}}{N} \right)^2 \left[-\frac{\ddot{A}}{A} - \frac{\ddot{B}}{B} - \frac{\dot{A}\dot{B}}{AB} + \frac{3}{\gamma_z^2 C^2} + \frac{1}{\gamma_x^2 A^2} + \frac{1}{\gamma_y^2 B^2} \right] \quad (10)$$

$$G_{tx} = 2 \frac{\dot{A}L_{,x}}{AL} \quad (11)$$

$$G_{ty} = 2 \frac{\dot{B}M_{,y}}{BM} \quad (12)$$

$$G_{tz} = 2 \frac{\dot{C}N_{,z}}{CN} \quad (13)$$

$$G_{xy} = 2 \frac{L_{,x}M_{,y}}{LM} \quad (14)$$

$$G_{xz} = 2 \frac{L_{,x}N_{,z}}{LN} \quad (15)$$

$$G_{yz} = 2 \frac{M_{,y}N_{,z}}{MN} \quad (16)$$

corresponding to expected non-vanishing energy-momentum fluxes.

In general, matter distribution in the weak gravity physics has a newtonian gravitational force along the radial vector joining the chosen location of a given matter particle to the origin of the “cartesian” coordinate system. Consequently, when this force is unbalanced, we expect

non-vanishing energy-momentum fluxes along all the coordinate directions. Therefore, the energy-momentum tensor of matter in the spacetime of (6) is, in general, imperfect and/or anisotropic.

Therefore, matter in the spacetime could, in general, be *imperfect* or *anisotropic* indicating that its energy-momentum tensor could be

$${}^I T_{ab} = (p + \rho) U_a U_b + p g_{ab} + q_a U_b + q_b U_a - 2 \eta \sigma_{ab} \quad (17)$$

$${}^A T_{ab} = \rho U_a U_b + p_{||} n_a n_b + p_{\perp} P_{ab} \quad (18)$$

where U^a is the matter 4-velocity, q^a is the heat-flux 4-vector relative to U^a , η is the shear-viscosity coefficient, σ_{ab} is the shear tensor, n^a is a unit spacelike 4-vector orthogonal to U^a , P_{ab} is the projection tensor onto the two-plane orthogonal to U^a and n^a , $p_{||}$ denotes pressure parallel to and $p_{\perp}$ denotes pressure perpendicular to n^a . Also, p is the isotropic pressure and ρ is the energy density. Note that the shear tensor is trace-free. We will represent by σ the shear-scalar that is given by $\sqrt{6} \sigma$.

The energy momentum tensor of matter essentially describes the physical effects due to all other reasons apart from the gravitational ones. The gravitational effects are determined by the spacetime geometry that is given by (6). That the principle of spatial scale-invariance uniquely determines the spacetime geometry irrespective of the form of the energy-momentum tensor of matter is therefore clear.

It is then easy to see that the field equations do not determine the spatial functions $X(x)$, $Y(y)$, $Z(z)$. Further, the density is initially non-singular for nowhere-vanishing spatial functions $X(x)$, $Y(y)$, $Z(z)$. Moreover, the temporal func-

tions $A(t)$, $B(t)$, $C(t)$ get determined only from the properties of matter generating the spacetime, such as its equation of state, shear tensor etc.

Further, the spacetimes admitting homothetic Killing vectors of the form

$$(T, \bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}) \quad (19)$$

or, combinations thereof, are contained with (6) provided the transformations of (2) - (4) leading to (19) are non-singular. In other words, the spacetime of (6) will be “diffeomorphic” to some of the spacetimes admitting (19).

Further, we note that symmetries can, in some appropriate sense, be *locally* imposed on the spacetime of (6) so that we obtain *locally* symmetric objects in it. This will, however, require a detailed analysis of the metric (6) than presented in this short exposition.

Before concluding, we emphasize that the metric (6) becomes singular for *globally* uniform density which requires $X(x) = \text{constant}$ for all x and $Y(y) = \text{constant}$ for all y and $Z(z) = \text{constant}$ for all z . It also follows that the metric (6) is

singular for global vacuum solutions which require $X(x) = \infty$ for all x and $Y(y) = \infty$ for all y and $Z(z) = \infty$ for all z . Hence, the metric (6) cannot be a uniform density or a single particle solution to the field equations. Further, it is also clear that the metric (6) cannot be asymptotically flat without any such conditions on the metric functions that make it singular there.

Then, we note here that the spacetime of (6) is also the most general, spatially homothetic, cosmological spacetime. It may further be emphasized that the spacetime of (6) is Machian in the sense that it becomes *globally* singular in evidently anti-machian situations such as a single particle of matter, vacuum etc. Therefore, Mach's hypothesis of the relativity of inertia, interpreted to mean the spatial scale-invariance of the theory of gravity, does identify a unique solution of the Einstein field equations satisfying it.

In his Autobiographical Notes, Einstein remarked [4]: “*Mach conjectures that in a truly rational theory inertia would have to depend upon the interaction of the masses, precisely as was true for Newton's other forces, a conception which for a long time I considered as in principle the correct one. It presupposes implicitly, however, that the basic theory should be of the general type of New-*

ton's mechanics: masses and their interaction as the original concepts. The attempt at such a solution does not fit into a consistent field theory, as will be immediately recognized.”

However, we have essentially shown here that Mach's hypothesis of the relativity of inertia does possess a consistent field theoretical formulation when we recognize the spatial scale invariance of gravity as one of its direct implications.

Acknowledgements

Dedication: This article is dedicated to the memory of Sir Fred Hoyle. His courage in propounding new ideas, his enthusiasm for discussing various alternative ideas and his deep, almost mysterious, insights into difficult physical problems will be missed by all who ever interacted with him, no matter for short or long durations.

I am grateful to Kesh Govinder for stimulating discussions as well as for useful collaborations and to Malcolm MacCallum for providing me the software SHEEP that has been used to perform the calculations presented here.

-
- [1] Einstein A (1913) *Phys. Zeitschr.* **14** 1249
 - [2] Pais A (1982) *Subtle is the Lord ... The science and the life of Albert Einstein* (Oxford: Clarendon Press)
 - [3] Einstein A (1913) *Nature* **8** 1010
 - [4] Einstein A (1949) in *Autobiographical Notes in Albert Einstein: Philosopher Scientist* (Ed. P A Schlipp, Illinois: The Library of Living Philosophers, Inc.)
 - [5] Hoyle F and Narlikar J V (1978) *Action at a distance in physics and cosmology* (New York: Freeman)
 - [6] Einstein A and Grossmann M (1913) *Z. Math. Physik* **62** 225
 - [7] Wagh S M (2002) Title: *Classical formulation of Cosmic Censorship Hypothesis* **gr-qc/0201041** Pre-print No. - CIRI/01-smw01
 - [8] Carr B J and Coley A A (1999) *Class. Quantum Grav.* **16**, R31 - R71